



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/736,323	12/15/2000	Anders Lundqvist	027557-077	8967
27045	7590	11/21/2006	EXAMINER	
ERICSSON INC. 6300 LEGACY DRIVE M/S EVR C11 PLANO, TX 75024			FOX, BRYAN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/736,323	LUNDQVIST ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bryan J. Fox	2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 September 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,3-6,11,14-17,22 and 27-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,3-6,11,14-17,22 and 27-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 8, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 11, 14, 16, 17, 22 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi (US006295452B1) in view of Barnett et al (US005509051A).

Regarding claim 1, Choi discloses a system that supports soft handoff between mobile switching stations in a mobile communication system (see column 5, lines 26-51), which reads on the claimed, "mobile cellular telecommunications network employing macro-diversity, wherein a mobile station can establish a plurality of simultaneous radio links with a plurality of digital cells in the network." The network includes a plurality of base station controllers, switching stations, and base stations (see column 5, lines 26-51 and figures 3 and 4), which reads on the claimed, "means for dividing the plurality of digital cells of the network into a plurality of groups, said plurality of groups including: a first group of geographically related digital cells, wherein the mobile station has an established radio link with at least one digital cell in the first group; and a second group of geographically related digital cells which do not overlay or underlay the first group of cells, wherein the mobile station does not have an established radio link with any of the digital cells in the second group." Choi fails to expressly disclose increasing the probability that the mobile station will establish a macro-diversity link with a digital cell in the first geographical area rather than a digital cell in the second geographical area including different link quality thresholds.

In a similar field of endeavor, Barnett et al disclose a system where each cell has a configured cell measurement class where neighboring cells are included in a selected measurement list in accordance with operating criteria of the serving cell and the classification of the respective neighboring cell and prior to sorting the handoff candidate cells, one or more signal strength increments are added to the normalized neighboring cell's RF signal strength measurement. The number of signal strength

increments added to the normalized neighboring cells is computed by subtracting the neighboring cells priority level from the maximum priority level and multiplying the difference by the dBm assigned to each priority block level (see column 6, line 50 – column 7, line 22), which reads on the claimed, “means for increasing the probability that the mobile station will establish a macro-diversity link with a digital cell in the first geographical area rather than a digital cell in the second geographical area, said means for increasing the probability including: means for establishing the macro-diversity radio link between the mobile station and the digital cell in the first group upon meeting a first link quality threshold; and means for establishing the macro-diversity radio link between the mobile station and the digital cell in the second group only upon meeting a second link quality threshold that is greater than the first link quality threshold.”

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Choi with Barnett et al to include the above prioritization with different thresholds in order to delays and system congestion as suggested by Barnett et al (see column 1, line 48 – column 2, line 4).

Regarding claim 27, Choi discloses a system that supports soft handoff between mobile switching stations in a mobile communication system (see column 5, lines 26-51), which reads on the claimed, “mobile cellular telecommunications network employing macro-diversity, wherein a mobile station can establish a plurality of simultaneous radio links with a plurality of digital cells in the network.” The network includes a plurality of base station controllers, switching stations, and base stations (see column 5, lines 26-51 and figures 3 and 4), which reads on the claimed, “means for

dividing the plurality of digital cells of the network into a plurality of groups, said plurality of groups including: a first group of digital cells controlled by a first radio network controllers, wherein the mobile station has an established radio link with at least one digital cell in the first group; and a second group of cells controlled by a second radio network controller which do not overlay or underlay the first group of cells, wherein the mobile station does not have an established radio link with any of the digital cells in the second group.” Choi fails to expressly disclose increasing the probability that the mobile station will establish a macro-diversity link with a digital cell in the first geographical area rather than a digital cell in the second geographical area including different link quality thresholds.

In a similar field of endeavor, Barnett et al disclose a system where each cell has a configured cell measurement class where neighboring cells are included in a selected measurement list in accordance with operating criteria of the serving cell and the classification of the respective neighboring cell and prior to sorting the handoff candidate cells, one or more signal strength increments are added to the normalized neighboring cell’s RF signal strength measurement. The number of signal strength increments added to the normalized neighboring cells is computed by subtracting the neighboring cells priority level from the maximum priority level and multiplying the difference by the dBm assigned to each priority block level (see column 6, line 50 – column 7, line 22), which reads on the claimed, “means for increasing the probability that the mobile station will establish a macro-diversity link with a digital cell in the first geographical area rather than a digital cell in the second geographical area, said means

Art Unit: 2617

for increasing the probability including: means for establishing the macro-diversity radio link between the mobile station and the digital cell in the first group upon meeting a first link quality threshold; and means for establishing the macro-diversity radio link between the mobile station and the digital cell in the second group only upon meeting a second link quality threshold that is greater than the first link quality threshold.”

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Choi with Barnett et al to include the above prioritization with different thresholds in order to delays and system congestion as suggested by Barnett et al (see column 1, line 48 – column 2, line 4).

Regarding claim 28, Choi discloses a system that supports soft handoff between mobile switching stations in a mobile communication system (see column 5, lines 26-51), which reads on the claimed, “method of establishing macro-diversity radio links in a mobile cellular telecommunications network, wherein a mobile station can establish a plurality of simultaneous radio links with a plurality of digital cells in the network.” The network includes a plurality of base station controllers, switching stations, and base stations (see column 5, lines 26-51 and figures 3 and 4), which reads on the claimed, “dividing the plurality of digital cells of the network into a plurality of groups, said plurality of groups including: a first group of geographically related digital cells, wherein the mobile station has an established radio link with at least one digital cell in the first group; and a second group of geographically related digital cells which do not overlay or underlay the first group of cells, wherein the mobile station does not have an established radio link with any of the digital cells in the second group.” Choi fails to

expressly disclose increasing the probability that the mobile station will establish a macro-diversity link with a digital cell in the first geographical area rather than a digital cell in the second geographical area including different link quality thresholds.

In a similar field of endeavor, Barnett et al disclose a system where each cell has a configured cell measurement class where neighboring cells are included in a selected measurement list in accordance with operating criteria of the serving cell and the classification of the respective neighboring cell and prior to sorting the handoff candidate cells, one or more signal strength increments are added to the normalized neighboring cell's RF signal strength measurement. The number of signal strength increments added to the normalized neighboring cells is computed by subtracting the neighboring cells priority level from the maximum priority level and multiplying the difference by the dBm assigned to each priority block level (see column 6, line 50 – column 7, line 22), which reads on the claimed, "controlling the selection of macro-diversity cells to increase the probability that the mobile station will establish a macro-diversity link with a digital cell in the first group of digital cells rather than a digital cell in the second group, said controlling step including: establishing the macro-diversity radio link between the mobile station and the digital cell in the first group upon meeting a first link quality threshold; and establishing the macro-diversity radio link between the mobile station and the digital cell in the second group only upon meeting a second link quality threshold that is greater than the first link quality threshold."

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Choi with Barnett et al to include the above prioritization with

different thresholds in order to delays and system congestion as suggested by Barnett et al (see column 1, line 48 – column 2, line 4).

Regarding claim 29, Choi discloses a system that supports soft handoff between mobile switching stations in a mobile communication system (see column 5, lines 26-51), which reads on the claimed, "method of establishing macro-diversity radio links in a mobile cellular telecommunications network, wherein a mobile station can establish a plurality of simultaneous radio links with a plurality of digital cells in the network." The network includes a plurality of base station controllers, switching stations, and base stations (see column 5, lines 26-51 and figures 3 and 4), which reads on the claimed, "dividing the plurality of digital cells of the network into a plurality of groups, said plurality of groups including: a first group digital cells controlled by a first radio network controller, wherein the mobile station has an established radio link with at least one digital cell in the first group; and a second group of digital cells controlled by a second radio network controller, wherein the second group of digital cells does not overlay or underlay the first group of cells, and the mobile station does not have an established radio link with any of the digital cells in the second group." Choi fails to expressly disclose increasing the probability that the mobile station will establish a macro-diversity link with a digital cell in the first geographical area rather than a digital cell in the second geographical area including different link quality thresholds.

In a similar field of endeavor, Barnett et al disclose a system where each cell has a configured cell measurement class where neighboring cells are included in a selected measurement list in accordance with operating criteria of the serving cell and the

Art Unit: 2617

classification of the respective neighboring cell and prior to sorting the handoff candidate cells, one or more signal strength increments are added to the normalized neighboring cell's RF signal strength measurement. The number of signal strength increments added to the normalized neighboring cells is computed by subtracting the neighboring cells priority level from the maximum priority level and multiplying the difference by the dBm assigned to each priority block level (see column 6, line 50 – column 7, line 22), which reads on the claimed, "controlling the selection of macro-diversity cells to increase the probability that the mobile station will establish a macro-diversity link with a digital cell in the first group of digital cells rather than a digital cell in the second group, said controlling step including: establishing the macro-diversity radio link between the mobile station and the digital cell in the first group upon meeting a first link quality threshold; and establishing the macro-diversity radio link between the mobile station and the digital cell in the second group only upon meeting a second link quality threshold that is greater than the first link quality threshold."

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Choi with Barnett et al to include the above prioritization with different thresholds in order to delays and system congestion as suggested by Barnett et al (see column 1, line 48 – column 2, line 4).

Regarding claim 3, as applied to claim 1 above, the combination of Choi and Barnett discloses the quality levels are signal strengths (see Barnett et al column 6, line 50 – column 7, line 22), which reads on the claimed, "the first and second link quality thresholds are required signal quality levels."

Regarding claim 5, as applied to claim 1 above, the combination of Choi and Barnett et al discloses the network includes a plurality of base station controllers, switching stations, and base stations (see Choi column 5, lines 26-51 and figures 3 and 4), which reads on the claimed, "a plurality of layers of groups are defined, such that each digital cell is in one group within each layer."

Regarding claim 6, disclose the network includes a plurality of base station controllers, switching stations, and base stations (see Choi column 5, lines 26-51 and figures 3 and 4), which reads on the claimed, "digital cells associated with one base station are considered to be in the same group."

Regarding claim 11, the combination of Choi and Barnett et al discloses the respective mobile switching stations are provided with a center code division multiplexing access inter network (see column 6, lines 18-42), which reads on the claimed, "the network is a Code Division Multiple Access Network."

Regarding claim 14, as applied to claim 28 above, the combination of Choi and Barnett discloses the quality levels are signal strengths (see Barnett et al column 6, line 50 – column 7, line 22), which reads on the claimed, "the first and second link quality thresholds are required signal quality levels."

Regarding claim 16, as applied to claim 28 above, the combination of Choi and Barnett et al discloses the network includes a plurality of base station controllers, switching stations, and base stations (see Choi column 5, lines 26-51 and figures 3 and 4), which reads on the claimed, "a plurality of layers of groups are defined, such that each digital cell is in one group within each layer."

Regarding claim 17, the combination of Choi and Barnett et al discloses the network includes a plurality of base station controllers, switching stations, and base stations (see Choi column 5, lines 26-51 and figures 3 and 4), which reads on the claimed, "digital cells associated with one base station are considered to be in the same group."

Regarding claim 22, the combination of Choi and Barnett et al discloses the respective mobile switching stations are provided with a center code division multiplexing access inter network (see column 6, lines 18-42), which reads on the claimed, "the network is a Code Division Multiple Access Network."

Claims 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi in view of Barnett et al as applied to claims 1 and 28 above, and further in view of Achour et al (WO 01/03464).

Regarding claims 4 and 15, the combination of Choi and Barnett et al fails to disclose the quality threshold relates to a longer time period for which a required signal quality level is satisfied.

In a similar field of endeavor, Achour discloses a threshold for a certain amount of time (see e.g. figure 5), which reads on the claimed, "the quality threshold relates to a longer time period for which a required signals quality level is satisfied."

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Choi and Barnett et al with Achour et al to include the above threshold in conjunction with a time period in order to increase the

performance of wireless communication devices located near the edge of a cell as suggested by Achour et al (see column 1, lines 32-50).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-6, 11, 14-17, 22 and 27-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan J. Fox whose telephone number is (571) 272-7908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



CHARLES APPIAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bryan Fox
November 15, 2006